NIGH GOLDENBERG RASO & VAUGHN

3

4 5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

28

27

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;

Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB

PROPOSED| PRETRIAL ORDER NO. 13: EXPERT DISCOVERY PROTOCOL

I. **GENERAL PROVISIONS**

PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT

This Document Relates to:

LITIGATION

ALL CASES

This Order applies to all Parties to the Uber MDL Action. This Order provides the protocols applicable to conducting discovery relating to testifying expert witnesses. Nothing in this Order shall preclude any Party from seeking to modify it later for good cause; prior to doing so, however, Counsel of Record shall meet and confer among themselves in a good-faith effort to reach agreement as to the appropriate scope of any modifications or revisions to this Order.

II. **GOVERNING LAW**

Expert discovery shall be governed by the applicable provisions of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, except as otherwise provided herein or in any other order in this Action. Unless specifically modified herein, nothing in this Order shall be construed to abrogate, modify, or enlarge the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. This Order does not supersede prior pretrial orders

entered in this Action. To the extent anything contained in prior pretrial orders is inconsistent with the provisions set forth herein, this Order shall control with respect to expert discovery.

III. <u>DISCOVERY RELATING TO EXPERTS</u>

A. Non-Discoverability of Certain Expert-Related Materials

- 1. With respect to testifying experts who must provide a written report pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B), the following materials shall not be subject to discovery or production:
- a. Unless utilized as a basis to form any opinions rendered in this Action, any notes taken by, for, or at the direction of an expert, the expert's staff, or others retained to assist the expert during the course of the expert's work in connection with the Action.
- b. Unless utilized as a basis to form any opinions rendered in this Action, communications between and among an expert and that expert's staff or others retained to assist the expert.
- c. Unless utilized as a basis to form any opinions rendered in this Action, communications between and among Counsel for a Party and experts retained on behalf of that Party, those experts' staff, or others retained to assist such experts.
 - d. Drafts of expert reports or portions thereof.
- 2. The Parties are not required to produce or exchange privilege logs reflecting any of the aforementioned items that are not subject to discovery or production pursuant to this Order.

B. <u>Expert-Related Materials To Be Disclosed</u>

- 1. A testifying expert who must provide a written report pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B) shall disclose the information identified in Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B)(i) (vi) in his or her expert report or rebuttal expert report. Any mathematical calculations or supporting data disclosed pursuant to this provision shall be provided in native format. The Parties shall meet and confer regarding disclosure of any mathematical calculations or supporting data that use or rely on computer software or programs other than retail software.
- 2. For any testifying expert who must be disclosed pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(C), the disclosure must state:

28

27

Expert-related deadlines and scheduling will be set in a separate order.

in

the

| 1 | IT IS SO ORDERED. |
|----|--|
| 2 | A IS SO ORDERED. |
| 3 | Dated: March 29 2024 |
| 4 | Hon/Lisa . Cisneros United States Magistrate Judge |
| 5 | Officed States Magistrate Judge |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |